Borderland-stalkers and Stalking-horses

Horse Sacrifice as Liminal Activity in the Early Iron Age
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This paper investigates the fact that many Early Iron Age wetland sacrifices were deliberately placed in liminal zones. The sacrifice of horses as well as the manipulation of their heads, hoofs and tails turned them into liminal creatures. In this way they imitated the liminal creature, in particular the Míðgarð Serpent that bites its tail in the ocean surrounding the world, and the horses also became guards against dangerous powers from outside Míðgarðr. The attention to tongues can also be seen on the bracteates that may have served as amulets, reminding their users of the creatures guarding the borderlands.


Key words: Borders, horse sacrifice, wetland sacrifice, bracteates, Grendel, Míðgarðr, chaos, myths, the Míðgarð Serpent.

BORDERS AND WALKERS IN THE BORDERLAND

Quite a few of the well-known Iron Age wetland sacrifices in Sweden occurred at sites on, or close to, parish borders. For instance the fen of Skedemosse on Öland is situated where the borders between the parishes of Köping, Bredsättra and Gärdslösa meet. Finnestorp in Västergötland is on the border of Larv and Trävattna parishes, Käringsjön in Halland is between Öraby and Enslövs parishes, and Lillemyr on Gotland is between Barlingbo and Endre parishes. Smaller and less known is the sacrificial bog of Frösvi in Närke, which is situated on the border between Edsbergs and Hackva parishes. Both Skedemosse and Finnestorp are also located on borders between different hundreds (Sw. härader) (Lindqvist 1910:119; Hagberg 1967a: 10 Fig. 3; 1967b: 72ff). There are, however, a number of wetland sacrifices to which these conditions do not apply. Examples of such include Gudingsåkrrarna in Eke parish and Roma in Roma parish on Gotland, Hassle-Bösarp in the Scanian parish with the same name, and Äversta in Glanshammars parish in Närke (Hagberg 1967b:72ff).
The same seems to be the case in Denmark. Of a few randomly picked wetland sacrifices there, Illerup in Dover parish, Hjortspring in Svenstrup parish, Ejsbøl in Gammel Haderslev Landsogn parish, and Vimose in Allesø parish all appear to be on, or very near, parish borders, whereas Valmose in Rislev parish, Nydam in Sottrup parish, and Kragehul in Flemøøsøe parish are not (Trap 1953-1972).

It is uncertain whether parishes and hundreds in Sweden reflect pre-Christian conditions or not. The question inspired a lively debate during most of the last century (see e.g., Bebyggelsehistorisk tidskrift 1982:4). It appears that the age of the parish borders varies between different Scandinavian regions; in some cases the borders may correspond to older boundaries, but in most cases they do not. In Denmark the situation is much the same. The formation of parishes was not necessary until tithes began to be collected (Brink 1990:113ff, 120ff; Blaaberg 1992:15).

I do not believe that the parishes reflect an older, pagan, geographic unit. They were designed by the Christian clergy to respond to the needs of a congregation, but I think that in some cases already existing borders may have been used. The parishes occasionally may have been formed for reasons such as population density or natural borders. It might, for instance, have been practical to place the border between parishes on marshy and useless land that was less likely to give rise to conflicts of ownership. It might also have been practical to use the presence of a natural border such as a river or, indeed, a fen.

Pagan society, on the other hand, may also have used existing natural borders, or they may have thought it fit to locate their sacred sites outside the inhabited area yet at a place that was still easy to access from several different settlements. However, I would like to suggest that this placement of sacrificial sites on geographic, demographic and/or judicial borders is not coincidental but has a deeper meaning.

The discussion of the farm and the cultivated lands inhabited and ordered by men in opposition to the wild, uninhabited and foreign lands is well known in archaeology as the Middagdr–Utgardr debate (Ström 1999:96f; Lindgren-Hertz 1997:48f; Andersson & Hållans 1997:585; Brink 2004:291f). For more than a decade it has been the dominant theory on how the pagan Scandinavians perceived their universe. More recently, however, it has come to be seen as too simplistic. The pagan world-view was much more complex and consisted of several dimensions in different positions around Middagdr (Brink 2004:292ff; Clunies Ross 1996:50f). In fact the word Utgardr only occurs once in Snorri’s Edda, as the home of Óttarhá-Loki, and it is never mentioned in the skaldic verses (Clunies Ross 1996:51; Snorri’s Edda Gylfaginning 45 1997:72).

“Rather than posit a binary opposition between the central space and the world outside the fence, there seems to be more textual support for a spatial conceptualisation of a series of territories belonging to different classes of beings arranged like a series of concentric half-circles, the perimeter of each circle being imagined as a kind of protective rampart, a garðr” (Clunies Ross 1996:51).
Thus any attempt to turn Snorri’s descriptions of the pagan world-view into a logical, spatial model or to outline it in a schematic illustration is an intellectual anachronism (Brink 2004:195f).

Since our sources of the myths were written down as late as the 14th century and thus are much younger than many of the archaeological finds, it is always problematic to use Scandinavian pagan mythology to interpret prehistoric society. We do, however, have other sources to the mythic world from the earlier, pre-literate epochs, such as picture stones and jewellery, and when these correspond to a specific myth or to a cognitive structure which is also represented in the written sources it would be wrong to disregard the mythology. We must, of course, compare and interpret with great caution and be aware that we look at both written and archaeological material through the multiple filters of two millennia of changing religions and social conditions. But if we do this, and at all times try to be aware that our interpretations always reflect our prejudice, I think it is possible to use the myths to understand the archaeological material.

As Margaret Clunies Ross puts it: “any modern interpretation can only be partial and suggestive of social relevance and modes of thought rather than indicative of them” (Clunies Ross 1996:15).

Clunies Ross proposes a description of the pagan world-view based on different classes of beings in the Old Norse myths. Gods, humans, and elves belong to the first class. They are dominant and normative and the myths are told from their point of view. Giants and dwarfs belong to the second class. Their domicile is seen as outside of Midgardr, not because of its actual location but because the users of Old Norse myths were willing to accept “the world of the gods and humans as central and the interests of others as peripheral” (Clunies Ross 1996:54).

Although I agree that the binary opposition of Midgardr-Utgarð is too simple, and that the point at issue is problematic and needs further clarification, I still think it is justified to speak of “otherworldly” creatures and places.

Bogs and wetlands must be categorized as outlands in the most literal sense of the word. As seen above they are often borderlands between different inhabited areas, which in some cases may later have become parishes. They are the limits of what people in one specific settlement or area thought of as “ours” and the beginning of “theirs” and “the Realm of the Others”.

One of the earliest sources we have as to how Early Medieval people regarded bogs and wetlands is the Anglo-Saxon poem Beowulf (Beowulf 1958). The poem was written by a Christian poet but it describes a pre-Christian epoch, and it is generally agreed that the poet did his best to capture the minds of the heathen protagonists and that he consciously uses an archaic language (Tolkien 1975:35ff, 84 and note 20). On linguistic grounds the earliest probable date of composition is the 8th century (Beowulf 1994:5).

In Beowulf the creature Grendel and his mother are referred to as belonging to the race of eotenas (l. 112) and thyrs (l. 426), giants (ON. jotnar; tursar). They are also called maerc-stapan (l. 103), border-stalkers. In the glossary to his 1958
edition C. L. Wrenn translates it as: “walker in the borderland; haunter of the bordercountry” (Beowulf 1958 s. 273).

In the context of the poem it is clear that it is not a question of boundaries between properties or national borders but of the border between the known world, ordered by man and exemplified by Heorot, and some dark and ominous dimension outside. The home of the monstrous creatures is situated on the bottom of a bottomless lake. It is described as a great hall, but the way to the lake is bleak and its surroundings are filled with fog, frost and darkness (Beowulf 1958 l.1397-1430, 1492-1590). It is also noted in the text that the lake is filled with wyrm-cynnes (l. 1425), a race of serpents, and see-draca (l.1426), sea serpents (Beowulf 1958:282, 306).

In these circumstances it is especially interesting that the poet speaks of Grendel and his mother as eotenas and thyrs. In Scandinavian pagan myth these are the creatures who live outside Midgardr and who are indeed hostile toward the gods and the race of men. It would seem that Beowulf’s poet and his contemporary audience thought that the creatures who lived in the fens and walked the borders of the world of men were identical to the frost-giants of the old myths.

The scholar of Old English literature, Edward B. Irving Jr., discusses the action in Beowulf as a combat: the good forces who create and defend the order of the world of men are fighting the evil forces of chaos and disorder “and thus protecting the ‘cultivated plot’ from the ‘encompassing wilderness’”(Irving 1968:86). Irving presented his theories before the concept of Midgardr-Útgardr became popular in archaeological circles, but his formulation sums it up very neatly.

The pagan Scandinavians may never have used the concept of Útgardr in the way modern archaeologists do, but I am convinced that they did conceive of certain parts of the world as Outside or as borders to the Outside. What Irving calls the “cultivated plot” is not necessarily the lands belonging to a particular farm, family or people but the idea of an ordered and controllable world. This world is called Midgarðr and the “encompassing wilderness” outside is not a certain place, but rather, any place or situation that evades the normative order and culture.

WETLAND SACRIFICES OF HORSES
During the Iron Age all kinds of animals but above all horses were sacrificed in lakes, bogs and wetlands, sometimes as part of larger and more varied sacrifices. The deposits naturally differ from each other, between regions and over time, but they also have a lot in common.

In Valmose near Rislev in Zealand a number of animals, humans and artefacts have been sacrificed on different occasions. One of the horses, No. VI, was found in situ with its tail placed in its mouth with the thick end first. The presence of only a part of one of the stylohyoid bones indicates that the tongue had been cut out before the tail assumed its place. Together with the head and tail were found the complete lower extremities of the same horse. Altogether eleven horses were
found in Valmose. Six of them were deposited with head, hoofs and tail in much the same way as horse No. VI, while the five others were less complete. The remaining parts of the horses were probably eaten. In fact, horse No. XII is really a conglomerate of marrow-split bones from several individuals, which the excavators interpreted as the leftovers of the other horses (Ferdinand J. & K. 1961:57ff).

When sacrifices of horses during the Iron Age are discussed, it is generally assumed that they took place in the same way as they did in historic times among some Siberian nomadic peoples; that is, the horse is slaughtered and skinned in such a way that the head, the tail and the feet are still attached to the skin. This is hung up on a pole and the meaty parts are consumed (Klindt-Jensen 1957:84ff; Ferdinand J. & K. 1961:79ff). Horse No. VI in Valmose clearly shows that this is not the case here. The tail lacks the caudal vertebrae 1-4, that is, those situated inside the horse's body. Both this and the fact that the tail was placed in the mouth of the horse with the thick end first contradict its being attached to the skin at the time. Since the caudal vertebrae lay in order, it is plausible that the tail was fresh at the time of the deposit. The sacrifice was dated to the 4th or 5th century AD based on the typology of deposited ceramics (Ferdinand, J.& K. 1961:73).

The remains of four humans were also found in the Valmose sacrifice. Only two of them have been preserved, and recently they have been radiocarbon dated to the pre-Roman Iron Age. Skeleton I was dated to 380 BC cal. (K-3598) and Skeleton II to 225-335 BC cal. (K-3599) (Broste 1984:96f). "The human bones, which comprised of two complete skeletons and some bones from two other individuals, were in fact found a little deeper than the rest of the find, but, because of the general instability of the soil, this was not considered to be important" (Broste 1984, App. B, p. 248).

This "general instability of the soil" may of course cast some doubt on the age of the animal bones. However, their general similarity to other animal sacrifices of the Roman Iron Age, and not least the presence of the pottery, makes me regard the excavators' date as valid.

The Hjortspring find is the oldest of the Iron Age weapon sacrifices and wood from the boat has been radiocarbon dated to 350-300 BC (Arkæologiska udgravning i Danmark 1987:230, 240). It is most famous for the find of the well-preserved boat but at least one horse was also found here, the bones of which were spread in the southeast corner of the excavation area. The horse had been deposited on its back, partly under the boat, so that some of the ribs and long bones had perforated the board-planks. Its head, which was found about 1 m from the rest of the skeleton, was turned the right way up. The lower jaw was in place and the tongue bone lay in situ in the peat. In the horse's mouth, one of its splint bones had been deliberately placed between the mandibles in the same position as the tongue (Rosenberg 1937:33ff).
Around the boat were also found a young sheep, a dog and a puppy, sacrificed at the same time as the horse. A tibia from another, larger horse was also found in this context (Rosenberg 1937:34). Below the finds dated to the pre-Roman Iron Age were deeper wells in the bog, where more remains of animals were found. These probably belong to the Bronze Age (Rosenberg 1937:35).

In Skedemosse on central Öland, large quantities of weapons were sacrificed in the 2nd to 6th centuries AD (Rau, A. 2005:632), but sacrifices of animals began already in the 4th century BC (Hagberg 1967a:103). Among these are the remains of about one hundred horses. As in Valmose they seem to have been deposited with special attention to the head, hoofs and tail whereas the rest of the bodies had been eaten. When the meaty parts were deposited they were marrow-split and broken, a condition not made better by being washed by the waves of what was then a lake (Hagberg 1967a: 79; Boessneck et al. 1968:16). It is thus more difficult to say anything about the original positioning of the bones than at the two previously mentioned places.

The sex distribution was fairly even and no particular age of animals was preferred (Boessneck et al. 1968 s. 16). Two horses have been radiocarbon dated: F 307 (St-1975) 1935+-75 BP, calAD 73+-91; and F 139 (St-2383) 1825+-100 BP, calAD 204+-117 (Hagberg 1967a p.91,103, Hagberg 1967b p.138, my own calibration done with the help of www.calpal).

In only six cases was it possible to collect more or less complete skeletons. These are F 1, F 218, F224, F 545, F 1053 and F1055. Strangely enough only two of them have skulls and only three have tails (Boessneck et al. 1968:19 Tab.4). As mentioned above, since heads and tails are generally over-represented compared to other parts of the skeleton, this suggests that special interest was directed towards these parts and caused them to end up separated from the rest of the body.

In Illerup Ådal, the most recently excavated and perhaps most well known of the large weapon sacrifices in Denmark, remains of horses have been found. They show severe head injuries as a result of blows from sharp and heavy objects. Brøndsted shows a picture of a horse from Illerup with cracked forehead and a pierced eye and rib (Brøndsted 1960:225). Ilkjaer describes how several horses were led out in the water, cut to pieces, and left where they fell. A hind leg of a horse was packed together with weapons in a piece of cloth and deposited with them in the lake (von Carnap-Bornheim & Ilkjaer 1996; Ilkjaer 2002:203, 211, www.illerup.dk).

Remains of three stallions were found in the weapon offering of Nydam I, scattered among the other finds and with iron bits still in their mouths. Nydam bog was first excavated by Conrad Engelhardt in 1859-63, and the skeletal material was investigated by Professor Japetus Steenstrup (Engelhardt 1865).

The oldest horse, 10-11 years old, is the most complete skeleton and was probably deposited whole. The workers on the site claimed that they found it with its legs pulled up beneath it, but Engelhardt would not take them at their
word. The second horse, a 6 year old, consisted of the skull and the lower extremities, and of the third horse, a 3 year old, only the skull was found.

The bones are well preserved but appear to have weathered for a while before they were covered with peat. They have also been gnawed by wolves or by large dogs. The pelvis of the oldest horse is damaged, and the youngest's nose, processus styloides and the vertebrae of the neck have been partly eaten away. According to Steenstrup, the fact that only those parts that are usually attacked first have been gnawed suggests that the carnivores had only brief access to the bones.

All three horses have been victims of severe violence, and have the same type of injuries as the horses from Illerup. Their skulls show traces of heavy blows from swords, in some places hard enough to cut all the way through the bone tissue. The impact angles show that the horses must have been on the ground when they were injured. Since the lower jaws are untouched by the blows but show severe gnaw marks, Steenstrup speculates that they had already fallen from the rest of the skull when the sword blows were delivered. He also says that the sharp edges of the cut marks in the bones of the lower extremities, and the little splintering of the bone, indicate that the bones were skeletized and not very fresh when they were cut (Engelhardt 1865:39ff).

However, it is in fresh bones that the cuts leave sharp edges, while old and dry ones tend to splinter. The drawings of these bones with cut marks look as if the horses were injured in fresh condition, as were the horses in Illerup (Molnar, verbal comm. 2005-09-13).

The oldest horse has been shot in its left shoulder blade with an arrow with a square iron head, and one of its ribs has a smaller, triangular hole. Traces of rust show that the projectiles were left in the wounds when the horse ended up in the bog (Engelhardt 1865:37ff). In view of the Illerup horses that were similarly treated, and the fact that the bone tissue had to be fresh for the arrowhead to lodge in, it is possible that at least the oldest horse in Nydam I was also cut down alive.

In 1984 a new weapon find, the so-called Nydam III-find, was excavated in Nydam bog. The find consisted of heaps of swords and spears from the early Migration period. Among them were two teeth and an elbow bone from a medium-sized horse. The elbow has been radiocarbon dated to AD 280 +/-50 = 265-429 calAD (K-5129) (Petersen 1987:121).

These south Scandinavian places can be contrasted to the sacrificial site of Oberdorla in Thüringen. It was in use for approximately the same span of time as Skedemosse, but only 24 horses had been sacrificed in Oberdorla compared to 100 in Skedemosse. The same figures for bovines are 114 and 80 respectively (Behm-Blancke 2003:98 Abb.40). This suggests an entirely different view of which objects were considered proper to sacrifice.

In this context it is particularly interesting that no caudal vertebrae at all were found in Oberdorla, although heads and feet seem to have been deposited together as in the other places. Since the much smaller tongue bones have been found
(Teichert 1974:52f) this points to a quite different sacrificial ritual but one that still paid special attention to the tails. The presence of large numbers of poles and stakes with holes or cross-bars in them indicates that different sacrificial objects were suspended as part of the rites at Oberdorla (Behm-Blancke 2003:54f). However, if this is what happened to the tails, one would think that at least some caudal vertebrae would have fallen down when the tails decayed and consequently been found in the excavations. When none are found, this implies that the tails were removed from the site and deposited elsewhere.

BRACETEATE BEASTS AND LIMINAL CREATURES

The creature usually connected with the limit of Midgarðr is the Midgarð Serpent. This offspring of Loki and the giantess Angrboda lies in the ocean that surrounds the world while he bites his own tail. In this way he is depicted on the reverse of a bracteate from Lyngby on Jutland (Ellmers 1970:222 Fig. 23).

Birgitta Johansen discusses the many liminal functions of the serpent in Old Norse traditions (Johansen 1997). She states that the serpent is the boundary between gods and giants at the same time as it encircles the world. The borderland it guards is situated between the known and settled region and the unknown wilderness, or between different regions, or it can be in an area that is neither land nor water. She also refers to Beowulf as an example of how the dangerous powers in Old Norse cosmology were seen as inhabiting bogs and desolate fens and marshes. The Midgarð Serpent, she concludes, maintains the border between chaos and order, between the world and its destruction (Johansen 1997:42).

Margaret Clunies Ross, on the other hand, defines the Serpent as belonging to a third of her classes of creatures, which represent natural forces of the kind Æmr meets at Utgarða-Loki, such as fire, old age and the sea (Clunies Ross 1996:52, 258). I do not agree with this; the Serpent lives in the sea, and is not an allegory of it.

The Serpent is a threat to the world of men and as such it will emerge in Ragnarök, but until then it is a necessary defence against things outside the world, which could be a lot worse than itself. When Æmr in Hymiskviða tries to pull the Serpent from the sea he almost starts the end of the world prematurely (Den Poetiska Eddan 1964:107 Hymiskviða verses 23-25; Johansen 1997:42). As I see it, the Serpent’s

Fig. 1. Reverse of bracteate from Lyngby, Jutland (Ellmers 1970:222, Abb. 23).

mixed genealogy contributes to making it a liminal creature, one that belongs to both Miðgarðr and the world outside, and to none of them.

To return to the bracteates, the most frequently depicted animal on them is a big, horned, horselike beast. This animal has been interpreted as a billy-goat, a bull, a horse or as a combination of all three. Most scholars seem to think that the horse is the most plausible beast, and they also seem to agree that the bracteates depict scenes from Nordic mythology (e.g., Andrén 1991; Axboe 1997:122f; Gaimster 1997:147f). Different gods such as Odin, Tyr, Loki and Balder can be seen on them.

One of the most well-known interpretations has been put forward by Karl Hauck. In a number of publications he suggests that the animal is Balder’s stumbling horse as it is described in the second spell from Merseburg (e.g., Hauck 1970, 1988, 1993).

The two spells from Merseburg were found in the Chapter Library in Merseburg, jotted down inside a prayer book from the 10th century (www.fh-augsburg.de). The second spell is a magic cure for sprained or broken legs of horses. It belongs to a canon of Old High German spells intended for healing both horses and people, but it is unique because it is the only one to do so in the names of the old Nordic gods (von der Leyen 1935:205ff; Hampp 1961:247ff, Eis 1964). It relates how Phol (Balder) and Wodan ride through a forest when Phol’s horse stumbles and injures its leg. A number of gods partake in its healing and Wodan finally succeeds. It is Hauck’s belief that this is a central scene from Nordic mythology and that the injury and death of the horse is an omen of Balder’s death. To the pagan users of bracteates, Wodan’s ability to heal and resurrect the horse was a sign of hope for Balder’s return, and this explains the popularity of the motif. Hauck interprets the divine head depicted above the horse on the bracteates as Odin in the act of healing the horse. Odin’s recital of sacred words is shown as an “Atem-Chiffre”, lines coming out of the god’s mouth or as the ear of the horse being put in the god’s mouth. The “Atem-Chiffre” also refers to Odin’s role as lord of the wind (Hauck 1993:408ff).

Most scholars agree with Hauck although not all of them go into such detail as he does (see e.g., Kolstrup 1991:185; Axboe1997:122ff; Gaimster 1997:148).

A different explanation is suggested by Detlev Ellmers. He, too, thinks that the animal is a horse, although not Balder’s, but he believes that the horse is sacrificed in the rituals that is described in the runic inscriptions of the bracteates. The depicted horse has been raced to exhaustion and is then killed, and this is the reason for its outstretched tongue and the unnatural position of its legs (Ellmers 1970:237ff). Ellmers links the animal depicted on the bracteates to the horses on picture stones, such as the Häggeby stone from Uppland and the stone from Roes on Gotland (Ellmers 1970:205, 243f). Ellmers is not the first to connect horse sacrifices with horse races. Hagberg discusses this extensively with reference to the Skedemosse sacrifices, but I do not intend to go further into the subject here (Hagberg 1967b:85ff).
Recently Anders Kaliff and Olof Sundqvist have explored the connection between the bracteates and the continental cult of Mithras. They believe that the bracteates through acculturation borrowed iconographical details from pictures used in the cult of Mithras, but that the Scandinavians filled the borrowed symbols with their own meaning (Kaliff & Sundqvist 2004:89). Thus the animal and the god are depicted in the same pose as Mithras killing the bull, but when a Scandinavian saw this he read it as Odin and a horse.

It seems to me that Hauck’s and Ellmers’ theories are mutually contradictory. Hauck gives a plausible explanation of the object protruding from the god’s mouth, but he does not explain why the horse should have been depicted with the attribute of a wind god, especially if the horse, as he claims, belongs to Balder who has no connection whatsoever with climatic conditions. Ellmers on the other hand explains the outstretched tongue of the horse, but does not say why the god should put his out. Of course one could argue that Odin, as the hanged god, has every reason to do so, since hanging or strangulation causes the tongue to swell and force its way out between the victim’s teeth. Thus, depicting Odin in this way might simply allude to his self-sacrifice and his capture of the runes (Den poetiska Eddan 1964:70 Havamål vers 139-141), but Ellmers does not make this connection. Nor does this explain why Odin is chewing on the horse’s ear.

Another interpretation that might explain the objects protruding from the mouths of both the god and the horse is that they symbolized prophetic abilities. Hauck touches upon this when he discusses bracteates where Odin is sucking his thumb (Hauck 1988:470f).

Already Tacitus writes in chapter 10 of Germania that sacred white horses were kept in holy groves and used to foresee the future (Tacitus 1914:278f). If this is true, the “Athem-Chiffre” with both the god and the horse could signify prophesy, as could the god speaking into the horse’s ear.

GUARDING AGAINST CHAOS
The sacrifices of horses in lakes, bogs and other wetlands are generally older than the bracteates and seem to have ceased in the 6th century AD, but they continued in pits under houses and in the so-called horse-burials in connection with human graves or on the limits of burial grounds (Müller-Wille 1970-71:185ff). Bracteates were manufactured and used roughly between AD 450/75 and 525/560 (Axboe 1998:232). Even before the earliest A-bracteates, animals were depicted on various ornaments with some strange object protruding from their mouths. Ellmers gives a number of examples (Ellmers 1970:252ff). Although I do not find all of his examples convincing, I would like to mention some that are. They consist of an animal-shaped brooch from the 4th or 5th century from Gotland (Ellmers 1970:253 Fig. 54); a Langobardian gold bracteate from Poysdorf, Lower Austria, and a very similar horse on a ceramic shard from Mähren (Ellmers 1970:254 Fig 57, 58); the Tangendorf disc-shaped brooch; the belt fitting from Skedemosse; and the second ornamented disc from Thorsberg (Ellmers 1970:256...
Figs. 62, 63, 65). I can also mention the bronze bull’s head from Childeric’s grave, which has four long bands hanging from its mouth and which was found on the forehead of a horse cranium (Ellmers 1970: 249f Fig. 51). All these animals are not horses, and as with the beast on the bracteates, some are difficult to identify with regard to species. The animal on the belt fitting from Skedemosse is a very good example of this ambiguity. It has the body of a deer, the feet and jaws of a wolf, and its tail and general stance also have some horselike features. As Hagberg states, it is “a creature of fantasy” (Hagberg 1967b:24).

These objects originate from quite different parts of Europe and are not from the same time. What they all do have in common is the long object hanging from the mouths of the animals. Ellmers links this to horse No. VI from Valmose and suggests that the lines in front of the animal’s mouth on the bracteates and the other objects may be something that in the course of the sacrificial ritual was put in the mouth of the beasts (Ellmers 1970:241f). This may have been the tail as in Valmose, or a leg bone as in Hjortspring, or something else that is not preserved. The fact that the ritual is focused on hoofs and tails, and that precisely these parts are found deliberately placed in the mouths of the horses, is significant. As mentioned above, the liminal creature usually known to bite its tail is the Midgarð Serpent.

I would like to suggest that the sacrifice of horses in wetlands with various objects placed in their mouths is a way of creating a guardian of the border to the Outside by alluding to the Serpent biting its tail in the sea around Midgarðr. Bogs and fens were seen
as potentially dangerous places, borders to the unknown and uncontrolled. The sacrificial ritual transformed an ordinary horse into a liminal creature, something which, like the Miðgarð Serpent, belonged to both worlds and to none. In this way it became a sentry against the dangerous forces living in the liminal zone of the fen, but its acquired liminal character also made it one of them – a borderland-stalker.

One problem with this interpretation is the variation in ritual between different sacrificial sites. In Hjortspring, Rislev and Skedemosse the horses have been slaughtered either by a blow to the forehead or with a method that leaves no trace of the killing. Only in the two first-mentioned places have manipulations to the horses’ mouths been identified. The horses in Illerup were subjected to very severe violence and hewn to pieces while still alive. In Nydam I the violence was equally brutal, but the evidence is contradictory as to whether they were alive or not. Perhaps they were just freshly slaughtered and lying on the ground while being cut up? This might explain why there are no traces of cuts on the mandibles. Since the arrow wound in the shoulder can hardly be the cause of death, the horse may have received this at the same time.

It is beyond doubt that at some point in the ritual, both at Illerup and Nydam I, the horses were used as stalking-horses and exposed to various projectiles that were shot and hurled at them.
Could it be possible to discern a gradual change in the ritual towards more flagrant violence? At first horses were killed for a purpose; they had to die to become liminal creatures, part of both this world and the Outside. Later on this was not enough and the act of killing in itself became more important. In Illerup and in Nydam I they had to be cruelly hewn down by the participants in order for the ritual to have the desired effect.

This also poses a problem since, with the exception of Hjortspring, these sites are more or less contemporaneous. However, the sacrifice of horses at Skedemosse started long before the sacrifice of weapons, and in Rislev there are no weapons at all. This may imply a ritual difference between sites with and without weapons, but Hjortspring is a classic “spoils of war” sacrifice, and in Skedemosse the same type of horse sacrifice continued alongside the weapon deposits. All things considered, I still find it plausible that the sacrificial rituals became more violent over time.

A similar change can, in a sense, also be seen in the literature and in iconography. The pagan epics of gods and heroes are filled with fierce combats against serpents and dragons. Birgitta Johansen implies that the view of the serpent changed over time. To begin with it was perceived as having both positive and negative characteristics, but in the Late Iron Age and Middle Ages it became increasingly threatening especially to male individuals, and the only way to control it was to kill it (Johansen 1997:106).

If the sacrifice of horses in wetlands is indeed a way of transforming them into liminal guardians, the pos-
sible increase in violence could be a reflection of this cognitive change. Any liminal creature has to incorporate in itself something from both sides of the limit; the Midgard Serpent is a chaotic being but it is also an insurance against chaos.

In the pagan cosmology the uncontrolled and unknown may stand against the known and ordered, but both are needed to balance each other. It may be that, as influences and ideas from the Christian world spread in Scandinavia during the Late Iron Age, there was a cognitive shift which caused the pagan chaotic powers to be seen as more malignant than before, more in analogy with the Christian opposition of good versus evil.

With this view in mind, both the big horned animal on the bracteates and the animals sacrificed in wetland sites can be seen as representations of the liminal creature keeping guard against the uncontrollable. The bracteates were used as amulets, they may have been given as gifts on important social and political occasions (Andrén 1991:250ff; Axboe 1991:200), and in this way the picture of the liminal creature, the maerc-stapan, could have functioned to uphold and define social borders.

The horses sacrificed in wetlands became liminal creatures haunting the borderlands to other dimensions, the realms of the dead, of chaos and of anything unknown. In this way they helped maintain the social order and protect the inhabitant of Midgardr from the terrifying and uncontrollable.

If the social occasions on which the bracteates were used were sacrificial feasts, as the runic inscriptions alu, laukaR and la@u may imply (Andrén 1991:249 ff), this could have strengthened their protective powers by reminding the users of the actual sacrificed animals. Wearing or using a gold bracteate could, among other things, have been a sign of having participated in a particular sacrificial ritual and thereby having received protection against chaotic powers from across the border of the unknown.

English revised by Laura Wrang.
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