This article traces the background and gradual growth of the subject of Classical Archaeology and Ancient History in Sweden from the 17th century to the present day. As a university subject it grew out of the study of the realia in connection with the classical languages and was separated as an independent subject as late as 1909. Between the 1920s and the 1970s, large-scale excavations dominated most work. At present, the topics are more varied, better use is being made of the possibilities of the dual nature of the evidence of texts and archaeological material and there is a growing awareness of a new set of problems.
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The study of classical archaeology and ancient history as a university subject is of relatively recent date beginning with the creation of two chairs of Klassisk fornkunskap och antikens historia (Classical Archaeology and Ancient History) in Lund and Uppsala in 1909 (Brunnsåker 1976:23-27), which, of course, does not mean that important work on classical antiquities was not done before this time. A few examples will suffice. As early as the 17th century, a classically-minded queen, Christina (1626-89), assembled a significant royal collection of antiquities, foraging all over Europe in order to complement the statues and objects brought to Sweden as spoils from the Thirty Years’ War (Callmer 1954; Leander Touati 1998:23f). To further the study of antiquity, she invited to Uppsala University the Strasbourg professor Johannes Schefferus (1621-79) (Brunnsåker 1976:20f). In the early 18th century, an officer of Carl XII, Cornelius Loos (1686-1738), used the opportunity of the King’s enforced stay in Bender to investigate Egypt and the Near East; among other places, he visited Palmyra and made excellent drawings of its well-preserved ruins (Billig & Billig 1981; Westholm 1985).

The person who played the most important part in the revived interest in antiquity that developed during the 18th century was, however, King Gustaf III (1746-92). Like other young men of his time, he went on a slightly delayed, Grand Tour to Italy in 1784-85, visiting Rome and Pompeii (Olausson 1998). With the help of Francesco Piranesi, the son of the great engraver, he started a new collection of antiquities, the old one having been sadly depleted by Queen Christina’s departure for Rome in 1654 and the great fire in 1697. The antiquities were eventually, after the King’s untimely death at a masquerade, exhibited to the general public in the Royal Palace in the museum that is still open today and known as Gustav III:s Antikmuseum (Leander Touati 1998). During King Gustaf’s reign, the first Swedish excavation on classical soil took place, in the very Forum Romanum to which Swedish archaeologists have since returned in the 20th...
Fig. 1. One of the first books in the field of classical archaeology and ancient history to be published in Sweden, at Uppsala in 1654. Note the Swedish coat of arms crowning Athena’s (Christina’s?) tent. The book by J. Schefferus is about the naval warfare of the ancients.

century (Nylander 1989). The excavator was Carl Fredric Fredenheim (1748-1803), later to be the first keeper of the Royal Museum (Leander Touati 1998:49-51).

Meanwhile, the closest contact with the classical world was maintained through the classical languages. In Sweden, as in the rest of Europe, Latin was the language of the learned world, obligatory at all upper-school levels until the early 20th century and for most university studies beyond the middle of the century. Most scholarly work in Classical Languages was concentrated on philological questions, and, although a few studies actually dealt with the realia of the ancient world, the study of classical archaeology and ancient history was not at a level at all comparable to that of many other European countries. The Swedish Consul at Smyrna had at one point tried to interest the Swedes in starting an excavation in Pergamon, but, as the famous Swedish educationist, Julius Centerwall (1844-1923) expressed it, it was a good thing that this excavation never took place, not so much because of the expense as of the lack of a qualified director, classical archaeology in Sweden being then an almost unknown science (Centerwall 1888:372f; Åkerström 1973:8f).

In the second half of the 19th century, an enormous amount of new information was brought to light through new, large-scale excavations based on a more scientific outlook. Several travellers from Sweden were inspired by the vastly increased archaeological material and the new ways of considering old problems that accompanied it. Contacts were established both with other European, mostly German, scholars and with the foreign institutes especially in Athens, and many young Swedish students were encouraged to go to mainly German universities. One of the most important travellers was Einar Löfstedt (1831-89), Professor of Greek at Uppsala, who visited Greece in 1876-77 and, on his return, lectured to audiences which included two young students who were later to become the first two professors of Klassisk fornkunskap och antikens historia at Uppsala, Sam Wide (1861-1918) and Lennart Kjellberg (1857-1936). Wide, energetic and with good contacts with several members of the German Institute at Athens,
excavated with Dörpfeld on the west slope of the Acropolis, discussed in a letter to Centerwall the possibility of excavating in Crete or on Thera, as we now know excellent sites, or, as suggested to him by Dörpfeld, rather the Agora of Athens or the more insignificant sites of Kalaureia on Poros, Aphidna in Attica or Midea in the Argolis. He chose Kalaureia, which he excavated together with Kjellberg, and Aphidna (Callmer 1953; Nordquist forthcoming), bearing a large part of the expense himself; as he wrote to Oscar Montelius (quoted in Åström 1980:9), it is easier to find money for something crazy such as dancing the cancan in the nude on Norrbro in Stockholm or searching for Noah’s Ark on Ararat from a balloon than for a serious excavation (free translation from the Swedish). Midea, also, was later excavated by Swedish archaeologists.

The enthusiasm generated by individual scholars with an interest in classical antiquity combined with the enormous growth of knowledge produced by new excavations created a demand for studies of other aspects of classical antiquity than the purely philological. Also, the classical languages were losing importance. A reform in 1905, restricting the use of Latin in schools and, thus, lessening the necessity to educate a great number of schoolteachers, opened the way for the new subject. In 1909, the Swedish Parliament decided that the two associate professorships at Lund and Uppsala were to be transformed into chairs in Classical Archaeology and Ancient History (for a contribution to the debate, see Lundström 1898). The first two holders were Sam Wide (1861-1918) and Martin P:son Nilsson (1874-1967), the famous historian of Greek religion (Harrie 1934), who had been Wide’s pupil during his time in Lund. A movable professorship, given to Axel Boëthius (1889-1969) in Gothenburg in 1935 (Lindberg & Nilsson 1996:I:164f), was made permanent, and a fourth chair with a slightly different title was established in Stockholm in 1948, paid for by a subscription in honour of the centenary of the birth of Viktor Rydberg, an 19th-century writer with a profound classical knowledge. Among the contributors may be noted a well-known classical scholar and teacher in classical languages, Johan Bergman, whose radio lecture “Arvet från antiken” (The heritage from Antiquity) was published for the benefit of the subscription (Bergman 1928). Gösta Säflund (1903-) was appointed as the first holder of the chair (Inbjudan 1948).

Fig. 2. A concoction of ancient – and new – fragments by Giovanni Piranesi (in his work Vasi, candelabri, cippi, sarcofagi, tripodi, lucerne ed ornamenti antichi, Rome 1778, Pl 91) bought by King Gustaf III as an authentic antique and now exhibited in the Medelhavsmuseet in Stockholm.
The new subject created in 1909 was given the title of Klassisk fornkunskap och antikens historia (Classical Archaeology and Ancient History) and even at that time it was extremely large and wide-ranging (Wikander 1986; Bergquist & Gierow 1987:9-12). It included all aspects except the purely philological, spanned over an enormous period of time from the Palaeolithic to the end of Antiquity somewhere around A.D. 500, i.e. both prehistory and history in all its aspects, and covered an area including all the lands that had at one time or another been touched by the Mediterranean cultures. The width and breadth of the subject seem to have been fairly original, subjects abroad being usually studied in separate parts, such as archaeology, art history, history, etc. It goes without saying that many of these aspects still await treatment in scholarly work or in teaching, but the opportunities exist. The most obvious division of the subject was into two parts, studies concerned with either textual or material evidence.

At first, naturally enough, as everybody who worked on the new subject had studied classical languages as their main subject, much early work was based on literary sources, but soon there was an about-turn. It almost seems as if the wish to put some distance between the new subject and the classical languages resulted in dissertations and other works being based almost exclusively on archaeological sources. This trend was further strengthened by the new excavations undertaken from the early 1920s at Asine (1922-30), Dendra (1926-39), Berbati (1935-38) and Asea (1936-38) and in Messenia (1926-36) and Cyprus (1927-31) (Styrenius 1974; Hägg forthcoming; Åström et al. 1994). These were the golden years of Swedish excavation in Greece and it is perhaps symptomatic that almost all these sites have been taken up again by the Swedes. In 1954, the Swedish part of the material found during the Swedish

Fig. 3. Martin P:son Nilsson, the first Professor of Classical Archaeology and Ancient History at Lund, 1909-39. From the festchrift published on his retirement in 1939.

Of almost equal importance for the development of the subject was the decision to open an archaeological institute in Rome. Many had advocated the establishment of an institute at Athens, especially after the excavations of Asine started in 1922, with the support of the then Crown Prince, later King Gustaf VI Adolf (1882-1973). From 1898, however, Johan Bergman had given historical-archaeological summer courses in Rome, and in 1909, Wilhelm Lundström, Professor of Latin, had arranged for a course in Rome which was later discussed in a wider context (Bergman 1901; Lundström 1910; Förslag 1913). As an outcome of the discussions and on the initiative of the Crown Prince, an institute was inaugurated in Rome in 1926 (Boëthius 1960; Östergren 1976). The first director was Axel Boëthius (Hanell 1949). It was more than twenty years before the institute in Athens became a reality in 1948. Its first permanent holder was Åke Åkerström (1902-91) (Åkerström 1973; Styrenius 1974; Wells forthcoming).
Cyprus Expedition became the nucleus of a new museum, the Medelhavsmuseet (Museum of Ancient and Near Eastern Antiquities) (Vessberg 1961; Styrenius 1994). After the war, again with the support of King Gustaf VI Adolf, excavations started in Etruria, the second important area of Swedish field investigation, at San Giovenale (1956-63), Luni sul Mignone (1960-63) and Acquarossa (1966-75) (Östenberg 1976:84-93, 98-100).

These excavations, and other smaller ones, encouraged generations of students to take up field archaeology and influenced the choice of subjects for much future work. It is not surprising that so many took the opportunity of going abroad and obtaining material that could be used as a point of departure for their dissertation and the possibility of writing it as a participant in an important archaeological project. Asine and Acquarossa have been the most successful projects in this respect. Of the permanent appointments at the four universities of Gothenburg, Lund, Stockholm and Uppsala, more than 50% are held at present by persons who have written dissertations or other important works based on material from Acquarossa or Asine.

The preponderance of archaeological and art-historical subjects has not failed to attract attention during the last few decades (Wikander 1984:91-97; Montgomery 1987:161, 165), especially since the study of the classical languages has tended towards more philological questions and, in the case of Latin, towards the later Latin that is perhaps more relevant for Sweden. I shall return to the question of the subjects chosen for dissertations shortly in dealing with the 102 dissertations written since 1909, but first the reform of the universities in 1969 must be touched upon. It was intended to shorten the study time for doctorates and to make the studies more suitable to possible future occupations. The subject's title was changed; words such as klassisk and jörnkunskap were considered to be antiquated and to emphasize the allegedly exclusive and unprofitable character of the subject, which was therefore re-titled Antikens kultur och samhällsliv (Ancient Culture and Society) (Furumark 1984:5), a title more in tune with the modern, anti-elitist and anti-historical society in spite of the voices raised against the difficulty of identifying the subject with its counterparts abroad and of understanding what the subject actually was about at home (Lindberg & Nilsson 1996:11:110f); in English, the old title has therefore been retained. Before this time, university credits in the classical languages were required; after the reform, only studies at school level – or none at all – were de-
manded. The reform may now be said to have failed in some respects, though maybe not in all. The study time was not shortened and students were not better prepared for future professions. Previously most postgraduate students had been prepared for a school career, but afterwards, with this possibility no longer open because of the lack of languages, students tended to be mainly motivated by an interest in culture or antiquity in general.

When looking at the subjects chosen for dissertations during the ninety years between 1909 and 1999, it therefore seems profitable to divide the dissertations into two parts, those before 1970 and those from 1970 to the present, i.e. a first period of 60 years and a second of only 30. A hundred and two dissertations have been publicly defended by members of seminars in Classical Archae-
and art-historical subjects is a fact; about 30% of all dissertations can be said to be mainly based on literary, epigraphical or numismatic material. Of the 30 historical dissertations, 17 are from the first period and 13 from the second, and of the 13, five are from the 1990s. Though historically-based dissertations have at no time formed a large part, the production seems steady enough and is even to some small extent increasing. Of the five dissertations from the 1990s, one is based on Mycenaean material, three on Greek textual evidence and one on Roman Imperial material. With the decreased number of Swedish excavations in the 1980s followed a changed pattern in dissertation subjects, but it is also quite evident that the students nowadays who actually go in for classical languages do so more intensely and that some at least are becoming more and more aware of the possibility of combining the two parts of the subject.

Dissertations based on material from the prehistoric and historical periods (before and after 700 B.C., respectively) are in a ratio of 2:3. The eastern half of the Mediterranean is preferred in the ratio of roughly 2:1, if Magna Graecia is counted as West. No special schools are easily observable, not even more than a few followers of great professors such as Martin P:son Nilsson or Einar Gjerstad (1897-1988). The fairly strong element of Cypriote studies at Gothenburg must be due to Paul Åström (1929- ), professor between 1969 and 1994 (Åström 1999); Gothenburg has relatively few historical dissertations, Lund only one after 1969 and Uppsala c. 47% in all. Both at Gothenburg and at Uppsala, the eastern half of the Mediterranean world

Fig. 6. Il re archeologo (the royal archaeologist) Gustaf VI Adolf excavating together with Roland Pålsson, the former Custodian of Antiquities, at Acquarossa in 1972, shortly before the king’s ninetieth birthday. Photo: D. Kuylenstierna.
is much preferred. At Lund and Stockholm, the choice of areas is indifferent. It is probable that these preferences mirror the interests of the professors. At Gothenburg and Uppsala, the professors have been mainly or exclusively interested in the eastern half of the Mediterranean world, whereas at Lund and Stockholm, the interest has been more varied. It is also noticeable that, once their dissertations had been defended, many of the new doctors turned to subjects in completely different fields. The subjects of dissertations may be chosen and are chosen freely and individually at all the four departments. Given the archaeological bias, purely historical works are few and philosophical works are nonexistent. Remarkably enough, the new trends in archaeology, although discussed among classical archaeologists, have left no wide, discernible influence in written work. Detailed, theoretical discussions are avoided, a trend which is, however, shared with most European classical archaeologists.

Furthermore, of the 102 dissertations, 58% were written by men and only 42% by women. The first woman to defend her dissertation was Birgitta Tamm (1930-92) of Stockholm University, in 1963. She was followed by three more women doctors during the 1960s. In the second period, however, the numbers changed: men wrote 43% of the dissertations and women 57%. As a consequence, women at present predominate, but not much, in both teaching and research positions, with 55% against the 45% of men. Among the 74 postgraduate students, the tendency is exactly the same, 55% against 45%. Twenty have their studies funded by their universities (19) or a foundation (1). In order to come to terms with the still long study times, a new reform was effected in 1998. Only students that are supported by the universities, a foundation or a permanent income may be accepted, on the general assumption that students who do not have to think about supporting themselves will be more dedicated and finish earlier. In this way, it is assumed that more students will finish their dissertations in shorter times, the approved time limit being four years. Since 1998 only two new postgraduate students have been accepted in all the four departments together.

The need of knowledge of foreign languages, besides Greek and Latin, may be one of the reasons for the long study times. Besides English, and depending on the chosen field of research, it may be necessary for the student to understand German, French, Italian, Modern Greek or some other language, at least to some degree. The dissertations are nowadays almost invariably written in English. All in all nearly three out of four (71) were written in English, the rest being divided between German (20), French (6), Swedish (3) and Italian (2). Most of the dissertations in German were, of course, written in the early part of the century when contacts with German classicists were close.

A further problem is the growth of new evidence and the number of pages written about it. That this is one of the reasons for the longer study time is self-evident, when
one considers the ages at which dissertations have been defended. The oldest person so far was Albert Berg von Linde (1897-1986) who defended his thesis in Lund in 1979 at an age of nearly 82. In the first period, only five defended their dissertations while still in their twenties: in Uppsala, Erik Holmberg (1907-97) at the age of 26 in 1933, Axel Boethius in 1918, Einar Gjerstad in 1926 and Gösta Säflund in 1942, all at 29 years of age, and in Lund, Paul Åström at the age of 28 in 1957. In the second period, only one defended a dissertation at this early age: David Frankel, in 1974 at the age of 29, one of a number of students of foreign nationalities invited by Professor Åström to finish their studies in Sweden. A division of the subject has therefore been discussed, chronologically into prehistoric and historical periods, geographically into areas such as East and West or according to type of evidence into history and archaeology. While allowing depth, this would unfailingly make for too small and narrow departments with a great risk of inbreeding.

The future of our subject, so glorious in the past, in spite of our small numbers and limited resources, is thus uncertain and problematical. The period of large-scale, Swedish excavations in the Mediterranean countries is over, but excavation continues on a smaller scale, together with other nations or in the shape of surveys (cf. Medusa 1990:2; 1996:2). Hopeful aspects, contrary to the tendencies quoted above, are the new awareness of the enormous possibilities of combining the two types of evidence between which there should be no difference of purpose (Furumark 1984; cf. Andrén 1998, esp. 1-25), the new fields of research, and the new problems less concerned with groups of material and more with man’s identity and place in society, and, finally, the importance of the heritage from the classical past and the questions of how it was – and is – perceived by posterity. An added stimulus is the growing interest in the historical past observable in society in general.

English revised by Neil Tomkinson.
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